

***APPEAL – SEPTEMBER 9, 2016 ADOPTION OF CIRCULATION AND PARKING
ENHANCEMENT PLAN***

CORIN L. KAHN

ATTORNEY AT LAW

506 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD, SUITE 316
SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA, 90401

TELEPHONE: (310) 899-4455
FAX (310) 899-4477

WRITER'S E-MAIL:
CLKESQ@MINDSPRING.COM

OUR FILE NUMBER:

October 6, 2016

**VIA eMails
AND U.S. MAIL**

City Clerk
Room 360
200 N. Spring Street, Room 360
Los Angeles, CA 90012

holly.wolcott@lacity.org; eric.villanuvea@lacity.org

Board of Commissioners rap.commissioners@lacity.org
Los Angeles City Recreation and Parks Department
221 N. Figueroa St. Suite 300
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: **Appeal of adoption of initial study/mitigated negative declaration
(IS/MND) for the Proposed Griffith Park/Observatory Circulation and
Parking Enhancement Plan; Public Resources Code § 21151 (c)**

Dear Madame Clerk:

This office represents Homeowners on Beachwood Drive United, The Hollywoodland Gifted Park, Hollywoodland Homeowners Association, and John Schwartz, who hereby join and incorporate fully by reference the appeal filed by the Committee to Save the Hollywoodland Specific Plan regarding the September 9, 2016 decision of the Board of Commissioners of the Los Angeles City Recreation and Parks Department (“Commissioners”) to adopt an Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Griffith Park/Observatory Circulation and Parking Enhancement Plan (“Project”):

Public Resources Code Section 21151 states:

If a nonelected decisionmaking body of a local lead agency certifies an environmental impact report... that certification ... may be appealed to the agency’s elected decisionmaking body, if any.

As the Commissioners is not an elected decisionmaking body, its determinations under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) are appealable to the City’s elected

City Clerk
APPEAL
City of Los Angeles
October 6, 2016
Page 2

decisionmaking body, the City Council. Appellants live near and recreate in Griffith Park and have a vested interest in protecting the Park's historic, biological, and recreational values. Appellants respectfully urge the City Council to deny approval of the Project until an environmental impact report (EIR) has been prepared that adequately discloses and mitigates the Circulation and Parking Plan Project's significant adverse impacts.

Appellants, and/or some of its members, participated in the hearing on this matter and testified in opposition to the adoption of the Plan as presented and adopted by the Commissioners.

I look forward to receiving timely notice of any hearings, deliberations, staff reports, or other forms of consideration of this matter and I thank you in advance.

Very truly yours,

CORIN L. KAHN